

TECNIA INSTITUTE OF ADVANCED STUDIES

NAAC Accredited Grade 'A' Institute

Internal Quality Assessment Cell
IQAC

Ref. No.: TIAS/BCA/2021-22/121

Dated: 01.12.2021

-: Rubrics for Communication (Written & Oral):-

Component	Proficient	Acceptable	Needs Improvements
Written Communication	Report is well organized and clearly written. The underlying logic is clearly articulated and easy to follow. Words are chosen that precisely express the intended meaning and support reader comprehension. Diagrams or analyses enhance and clarify presentation of ideas. Sentences are grammatical and free from spelling errors.	Report is organized and clearly written for the most part. In some areas the logic or flow of ideas is difficult to follow. Words are well chosen with some minor exceptions. Diagrams are consistent with the text. Sentences are mostly grammatical and only a few spelling errors are present but they do not hinder the reader.	Report lacks an overall organization. Reader has to make considerable effort to understand the underlying logic and flow of ideas. Diagrams are absent or inconsistent with the text. Grammatical and spelling errors make it difficult for the reader to interpret the text in places.
Presentation Visual Aids	Slides are error-free and logically present the main components of the process and recommendations. Material is readable and the graphics highlight and support the main ideas.	Slides are error-free and logically present the main components of the process and recommendations. Material is mostly readable and graphics reiterate the main ideas.	Slides contain errors and lack a logical progression. Major aspects of the analysis or recommendations are absent. Diagrams or graphics are absent or confuse the audience.
Oral Presentation	Speakers are audible and fluent on their topic, and do not rely on notes to present or respond. Speakers respond accurately and appropriately to audience questions and comments.	Speakers are mostly audible and fluent on their topic, and require minimal referral to notes. Speakers respond to most questions accurately and appropriately.	Speakers are often inaudible or hesitant, often speaking in incomplete sentences. Speakers rely heavily on notes. Speakers have difficulty responding clearly and accurately to audience questions.
Body Language	Body language, as indicated by appropriate and meaningful gestures (e.g., drawing hands inward to convey contraction, moving arms up to convey lift, etc.) eye contact with audience, and movement, demonstrates a high level of comfort and connection with the audience.	Body language, as indicated by a slight tendency to repetitive and distracting gestures (e.g., tapping a pen, wringing hands, waving arms, clenching fists, etc.) and breaking eye contact with audience, demonstrates a slight discomfort with the audience.	Body language, as indicated by frequent, repetitive and distracting gestures, little or no audience eye- contact, and /or stiff posture and movement, indicate a high degree of discomfort interacting with audience.

RUBRICS FOR ASSESSMENT OF DESIGN PROJECTS

Category	Needs Improvements	Acceptable	Proficient
Purpose of the Project	Does not clearly explain the intended outcome of the project or provides little information about the problem that was being solved, the need being met, or why the project was selected	Provides a description of the intended outcome of the project which includes information about the problem that was being solved or the need being met, and why the project was selected	Provides a detailed intended outcome of the project which includes information about the problem that was being solved or the need being met, and clearly articulates the reasons and decision-making process used to select the project
Research	Lacks awareness of similar work done by others in an unacceptable literary form	Reflects awareness of similar work done by others and presents it in an acceptable literary format	Reflects thorough understanding of similar work done by others and presents it in an acceptable literary format
Choices	Lacks justification of choices with little or no references to functional, aesthetic, social, economic, or environmental considerations	Justifies choices made with reference to functional, aesthetic, social, economic, or environmental considerations	Demonstrates sophisticated justification of choices with reference to functional, aesthetic, social, economic, or environmental consideration
Alternative Designs	Only one design presented or clearly infeasible alternative given. Serious deficiencies in exploring and identifying alternative designs.	Alternative approaches identified to some degree.	Final design achieved after review of reasonable alternatives.
Application of Engineering Principles	No or erroneous application of engineering principles yielding unreasonable solution. Serious deficiencies in proper selection and use of engineering principles.	Effective application of engineering principles resulting in reasonable solution.	Critical selection and application of engineering principles ensuring reasonable results.
Final Design	Not capable of achieving desired objectives.	Design meets desired objectives.	Design meets or exceeds desired objectives.
Interpretation of Results	No or erroneous conclusions based on achieved results. Serious deficiencies in support for stated conclusions.	Sound conclusions reached based on achieved results.	Insightful, supported conclusions and recommendations.

Rubrics can also be used effectively to design the continuous assessment of the student projects. The Performance Indicators referred to in the previous sections can be used measurement criteria in the rubric. In the following example, we can see that for different phases of the students' projects, we can design the rubrics keeping in mind the deliverables of the project at that particular stage.

5- SEMESTER MINI PROJECT

RUBRICS FOR REVIEW - I

PI Code	PI	Marks	Very Poor Up to 20%	Poor Up to 40%	Average Up to 60%	Good Up to 80%	Very good Up to 100%
2.1.1	Articulate problem statements and identify objectives - GA	02	Problem statement and objectives are not identified	Problem statement and objectives are not clear	Problem statement is clear and objectives are not in line with problem statement	Problem statement is clear and objectives are not completely defined.	Problem statement is clear and objectives are completely defined
2.1.2	Identify engineering systems, variables, and parameters to solve the problems - IA	02	Engineering systems are not identified. Variables, and parameters to solve the problems are not defined	Engineering systems are identified but not clear. Variables, and parameters to solve the problems are not defined	Engineering systems are clear. Variables, and parameters to solve the problems are not defined	Engineering systems are identified. Variables, and parameters to solve the problems are partially defined	Engineering systems are identified. Variables, and parameters to solve the problems are completely defined
2.2.3	Identify existing processes/ solution methods for solving the problem, including forming justified approximations and assumption - GA	02	Not able to identify existing solution for solving the problem. The assumptions, approximations and justifications are also not identified.	Not able to identify existing solution for solving the problem. The assumptions, approximations and justifications are identified but not clear	Not able to identify existing solution for solving the problem. But assumptions and approximations are aligned to the objectives.	Able to identify existing solution for solving the problem. Assumptions, and approximations are clear	Able to identify existing solution for solving the problem. But assumptions, approximations and justifications are clear
2.2.4	Compare and contrast alternative solution processes to select the best process- GA	02	Not able to identify alternative solution processes	Not able to compare alternative solution processes	Able to compare alternative solution processes but could not contrast clearly	Able to compare alternative solution processes and contrast clearly but not able to select best process	Able to compare alternative solution processes, contrast it and also able to select best process
10.1.1	Read, understand and interpret technical and non-technical information - GA	02	Not able to identify technical and non-technical information	Able to identify non-technical information	Able to read technical and non-technical information, but could not understand and interpret	Able to read, understand technical and non-technical information, but could not interpret	Able to read, understand and interpret technical and non-technical information

GA - Group Assessment

IA - Individual Assessment

RUBRICS FOR REVIEW - II

PI Code	PI	Marks	Very Poor Up to 20%	Poor Up to 40%	Average Up to 60%	Good Up to 80%	Very good Up to 100%
3.2.1	Apply formal idea generation tools to develop multiple engineering design solutions - GA	02	Not able to identify tools to develop solutions	Able to identify but not able to use it effectively	Able to use the tool but not able to generate engineering designs	Able to generate engineering designs but not able to justify	Able to generate engineering designs with justification
3.2.3	Identify suitable criteria for evaluation of alternate design solutions - GA	02	Not able to identify criteria	Able to identify criteria but not able to use them	Able to use criteria but not able to compare alternatives	Not able to justify the comparison with criteria	Able to justify the comparison with criteria
3.3.1	Apply formal decision- making tools to select optimal engineering design solutions for further development- GA	02	Not able to identify decision-making tools	Able to identify but not able to choose optimum one	Able to identify optimum one but not able to use it	Able to use optimum one but not able to justify	Able to use optimum one with justification
3.2.2	Build models/ prototypes to develop diverse set of design solutions - IA	02	Not able to identify tool to build model/ prototype	Able to choose the tool but not able to use it effectively	Able to use the tool but not able to generate alternatives	Able to generate alternatives but not able to justify the best solution	Able to generate and justify the best solution
13.1.1	Develop 2D drawings of components/ systems using modern CAD tools - IA	02	Not able to identify CAD tools	Able to identify but not able to use CAD tool	Able to use CAD tool but not able to generate drawings	Able to generate drawings but not able to follow drawing standards	Able to generate drawings with standards
13.1.2	Develop 3D models of components/systems using modern CAD tools - IA	03	Not able to identify CAD tools	Able to identify but not able to use CAD tool	Able to use CAD tool but not able to generate 3D models	Able to generate models but not able to follow standards	Able to generate models with standards
13.1.3	Apply GD&T principles as per ASME standards to manufacturing drawings, with all relevant data like material, hardness, surface finish, and tolerances - IA	02	Not able to extract GD&T principles from ASME standards	Able to extract but not able to understand them	Able to understand but not able to apply GD&T standards	Able to apply GD&T standards to drawings but not able to justify	Able to apply and justify GD&T standards to drawings

GA - Group Assessment

IA - Individual Assessment

RUBRICS FOR REVIEW - III

PI Code	PI	Marks	Very Poor Up to 20%	Poor Up to 40%	Average Up to 60%	Good Up to 80%	Very good Up to 100%
3.4.2	Generate information through appropriate tests to improve or revise design - GA	02	Not able to identify suitable tests to be done	Able to identify but not able to follow testing procedure	Able to follow testing procedures but not able to collect information	Able to collect information but not able to apply it for improvement	Able to apply information for the improvement
4.3.1	Use appropriate procedures, tools and techniques to conduct experiments and collect data - GA	04	Not able to identify tools, techniques and procedures	Able to identify but not able to conduct experiments	Able to conduct experiments but not able to follow procedure	Able to follow procedure but not able to collect data	Able to collect data as per the standards
4.3.2	Analyze data for trends and correlations, stating possible errors and limitations - GA	03	Not able to understand data	Able to understand but not able to analyze data	Able to analyze data but not able to correlate them	Able to correlate but not able to identify errors and limitations	Able to identify errors and limitations
10.2.2	Deliver effective oral presentations to technical and non-technical audiences - IA	03	Could not deliver effective presentations.	Could not deliver presentation, but presentation was prepared and attempted.	Able to deliver fair presentation but not able to answer to the audiences	Deliver effective presentations but able to answer partially to the audience queries.	Deliver effective presentation and able to answer all queries of the audience.
9.3.1	Present results as a team, with smooth integration of contributions from all individual efforts - GA + IA	03	No Contribution from an individual to a team	Contributions from an individual to a team is minimal	Contributions from an individual to a team is moderate	A contribution from an individual to a team is good but not well groomed in team.	Contribution from an individual to a team is good and results in an integrated team presentation.

GA - Group Assessment

IA - Individual Assessment

*